## Numerical Project

1. Read handout "The restricted 3-body problem (2D)".
2. Run the Matlab code "restricted2d.m" with the subroutine "fnewton.m". First, set the input parameters to the following values (these are the defaults):
```
massratio \(=0\);
\(\mathrm{x} 20=1\);
\(\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{x} 0=0\);
y20 = 0;
\(\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{y} 0=\operatorname{sqrt}(1 / \mathrm{x} 20)\);
x30 = 0;
y30 = 2;
v3x0 \(=-\operatorname{sqrt}(1 / y 30) * 0.5\);
v3y0 = 0;
tmax \(=1 *\) period2;
```

Answer the following questions:
(a) What kind of orbit is $m_{2}$ in?
(b) What is its orbital period (in terms of $T$, the natural time scale)?
(c) If you were to change the value of x 20 would $m_{2}$ still be in a circular orbit? Why or why not? (Try it! You might want to adjust tmax so that you follow the orbits longer.)
(d) What does massratio $=0$ mean physically?
(e) What kind of orbit is $m_{3}$ in?
(f) Calculate its period (in terms of the period of $m_{2}$ ).
3. Increase the time span to $\operatorname{tmax}=10 *$ period2 and increase the effect of $m_{2}$ to massratio $=0.01$.
Answer the following questions:
(a) What kind of orbit is $m_{3}$ in now?
(b) Take the final (vector) value of x , run the simulation again with this as the initial value x 0 and change massratio back to zero. Determine the period and semimajor axis of $m_{3}$ in this final state. Do they satisfy Kepler's third law?
4. Increase the perturbing effect of $m_{2}$ to massratio $=0.1$. Notice the effect of the close approaches of the two "planets".

Answer the following questions:
(a) What happens to $m_{3}$ ?
(b) Change the initial conditions very slightly, let $\mathrm{y} 30=2.01$. Now what happens to $m_{3}$ ? Explain the results using concepts that we have discussed in class.
(c) Take the final (vector) value of x , run the simulation again with this as the initial value x 0 and change massratio back to zero. Determine the period and semimajor axis of $m_{3}$ in this final state. Do they satisfy Kepler's third law?
5. Simulate the Earth and the Moon. Let $m_{2}$ be the Earth and $m_{3}$ be the moon.
(i) Calculate the necessary value for massratio.
(ii) Determine the initial conditions for $m_{3}$. One method is to use the defaults for $m_{2}$, but the following for $m_{3}$

```
x30 = x20 + emdistance;
y30 = 0;
v3x0 = 0;
v3y0 = v2y0 + deltav;
```

where emdistance is the Earth-Moon distance (in the proper scaled units) and deltav is the speed of the Moon relative to the Earth (again, in proper dimensionless units).
Answer the following questions:
(a) What is the proper value for massratio?
(b) What are the proper values of emdistance and deltav?
(c) Prove that the Moon has a stable orbit around the Earth by extending the simulation to tmax $=50 * p e r i o d 2$, i.e., 50 "years."
i. You may find it useful to use figure(3) since it plots the moon centered on the Earth.
ii. By "stable" I mean that the moon remains in orbit and does not escape. You may notice, however, that it appears to lose energy. The reason is that energy is not conserved in the restricted three-body problem, but another quantity is. See $\S 2.12 .2$ on the Jacobi constant in Curtis's text for an explanation.
iii. Calculate and plot the Jacobi constant $C$ for $m_{3}$. The expression for this constant can be found in Eq. (2.212) on page 141 of Curtis. You will have to make it dimensionless as all the other quantities are.
(d) Reduce deltav by $50 \%$ so that the satellite (no longer the Moon) is in an elliptical orbit. Does the line of apsides retain a constant orientation? Explain why or why not.
6. Investigate one of the scenarios listed below.
(a) Simulate the Pluto system - a "restricted 4-body problem." Pluto has 4 moons, but I want you to simulate 3 of them: Charon, Nix, and Hydra. This article (http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0512491) lists the orbital elements of the three moons. Use the following masses:

| Object | Mass |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pluto | $1.3 \times 10^{22} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Charon | $1.5 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Nix | $1 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Hydra | $5 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~kg}$ |

You can let Pluto and Charon be $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ respectively, and Nix and Hydra will be the small masses that do not exert forces on Pluto or Charon, but do feel forces due to them.
(b) Investigate the stability of asteroids in a Kirkwood gap. That is, $m_{2}$ is Jupiter, and $m_{3}$ is an asteroid with a period that is commensurate with Jupiter. You'll have to run the simulation for a long time to determine how long the asteroid is able to remain in that orbit. You can assume that both orbits are initially circular. Also, vary the initial period of the asteroid to see if you can get it to be stable. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kirkwood_gap for more information.
(c) Perform a satellite drag calculations. Start out $m_{3}$ in a circular orbit, but modify fnewton.m to include atmospheric drag.
(d) Investigate the sensitivity to initial conditions for asteroid 2008EA9. See the article and the paper at http://www.technologyreview.com/blog/arxiv/27112/
(e) Investigate the ejection from Earth of particles that might escape the solar system, or reach Jupiter. See the article and the paper at http://www.technologyreview.com/blog/arxiv/27092/
(f) Investigate the sensitivity of the asteroid Apophis hitting the Earth. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/99942_Apophis for more information.
(g) Investigate "horseshoe" or "tadpole" orbits for near-Earth asteroids. See the article and the paper at http://www.technologyreview.com/blog/arxiv/26608/
(h) Investigate the stability of any possible "trojan asteroids" of the Earth. How close to the L4 or L5 points must they be in order to remain there for a given length of time.
(i) Choose your own problem. But you must clear it with me first.

NOTE: When I say "investigate," I want you to try a variety of initial conditions, for example, and see which ones give you the resulting trajectory that you're looking for, and which do not. Also, are there any interesting trajectories that you weren't expecting to find?
7. Type up your answers to all of the above questions, along with commentary explaining the results. Use a research journal format, with an abstract and a two-column text.

I've posted some examples on the course web page, as well as a $\mathrm{LT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ template if you wish to use it (you don't have to, but equations and formatting are simpler than with Microsoft Word). Be sure to include orbital plots, since "a picture is worth a thousand words," but your plots must have properly labeled axes and enough notation for the reader (me) to understand. Also, even though I am the reader, you should pitch the level of your paper to your audience, which in this case is your fellow EP 393 students. Assume that they know everything that you do from class, but don't know about this specific project. So you'll have to explain this project in detail, using equations and concepts that you've learned in class.

